

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,032	01/14/2004	Bruce Anthony Tavares	4588-00003B	6157
7590 02/14/2006			EXAMINER	
Alfred D. Lobo & Co., L.P.A			MAI, NGOCLAN THI	
933 The Leader Building 526 Superior Avenue E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH 44114-1902			1742	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/758,032	TAVARES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iiil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No	ovember 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	·				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the original than the correction of the correction of the original than the correction of the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/758,032 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/3/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant essentially argues that the fibers are not "microcrystalline cellulose" and that microcrystalline cellulose is derived from cellulose fibers, therefore microcrystalline cellulose are not the same as cellulose fibers. The examiner disagrees with applicant assessment in that there is no specific definition of the term fiber in the specification therefore the microcrystal cellulose taught by the reference having rod-shape particle with dimensions of 1500 A x 150 A x 100 A is corresponded to the claimed cellulose fiber having an average length of from about 1 micron. Regarding the material itself, the claimed cellulose does not exclude cellulose being in microcrystalline form. Thus there is no patentable distinction between the claimed cellulose fiber and the microcrystalline cellulose having shape similar to the fiber claimed by the applicant.
- 2. For the reason above the rejection in the previous office action is maintained. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones et al. The rejection was made in the previous office action and is incorporated herein by reference.

## Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,032 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally

be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy

King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoelan T. Mai Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742